

PRELIMINARY EXAM FOR THE Ph.D. DEGREE

---

Answer 4 questions total, at least one from each of parts I and II, and at least one from either parts III or IV. Closed book exam.

**PART I**

**Question 1.** Point allocation: equal weights for each part.

Consider the regression model:

$$Y^* = X'\beta + \varepsilon$$

with  $\varepsilon$  distributed  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  conditional on  $X$ ,  $Y^*$  denoting log-income and  $X$  including covariates that predict income such as age. Suppose that low income wages below some threshold  $L$  are excluded from the sample. Answer the following questions:

- (a) Will the least squares estimator of  $\beta$  for the observed values  $(Y^*, X)$  be a consistent estimator of  $\beta$ ? Why or why not? Show analytically.
- (b) What is the expectation of  $Y^*$  conditional on  $X$  in the observed sample? Could you use this conditional expectation to give an estimator of  $\beta$ ? If so, analytically describe an estimator. If not, describe (in words or analytically) why, and provide an alternate method of estimating  $\beta$ .
- (c) What is the conditional likelihood function of  $Y^*$  given the observed  $X$  in this sample?
- (d) Suppose now that you are informed that, in addition to excluding low income wages, the sample you have also excluded high income wages above some threshold  $H$ . In addition, assume that  $H = 2L$ . Answer parts (b)-(c) again.
- (e) With the new information given in part (d), suggest **two** alternate estimators of the parameters than the one you suggested previously.

**Question 2.** Point allocation: each part equally weighted.

Consider the two equation structural model:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= \beta_{11}Y_2 + \gamma_{11}Z_1 + \gamma_{12}Z_2 + u_1 \\ Y_2 &= \beta_{12}Y_1 + \gamma_{23}Z_3 + \gamma_{24}Z_4 + u_2 \end{aligned}$$

Answer the following questions:

- (a) Determine the identification of the two equations in terms of the rank and order conditions.
- (b) Derive the reduced form and determine the effect of the overidentifying restrictions on the coefficients of the reduced form. Derive a test of the overidentifying restrictions based on estimates of the reduced form coefficients.
- (c) Specify an efficient full information estimator for the structural model. Derive a test of the overidentifying restrictions.
- (d) Suppose you believe that  $E(u_1, u_2) = 0$ . Derive an efficient full information estimator in this situation. How could you test whether the covariance restriction is true?

PART II FOLLOWS ON NEXT PAGE

**PART II****Question 3.** Point Allocation: (a) 20%, (b) 10%, (c) 10%, (d) 20%, (e) 20%. (f) 10%

Assume that  $\varepsilon_t = (\varepsilon_{1t}, \varepsilon_{2t})' \in \mathbb{R}^2$  is  $\text{iid}N(0, \Omega)$  where  $\Omega$  is a positive definite, symmetric  $2 \times 2$  covariance matrix with

$$\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Assume that  $z_t' = (y_t, x_t)$  is the stationary solution to the structural model

$$B_0 z_t = B_1 z_t + \varepsilon_t.$$

The coefficient matrices  $B_0$  and  $B_1$  are given by

$$B_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \beta & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Assume that enough restrictions are placed on  $\beta$  and  $B_1$  such that  $z_t$  is stationary.

- (a) Find the reduced form for  $z_t$ . Express the variance  $\Sigma$  of the reduced form innovations in terms of  $\Omega$ ,  $B_0$  and  $B_1$  and find the reduced form coefficients  $\Pi$  in terms of  $B_0$  and  $B_1$ .
- (b) Assume you have a sample  $z_1, \dots, z_T$ . Write down the approximate likelihood function of the reduced form parameters, ignoring initial conditions.
- (c) Find the maximum likelihood estimators of the reduced form parameters.
- (d) How would you test the hypothesis that  $\beta = 0$ .
- (e) Write down the impulse response function of the structural innovations in terms of  $B_0$  and  $B_1$ .
- (f) Provide an interpretation of the zero restriction imposed on  $B_0$ .

**Question 4.** Point Allocation: (a) 20%, (b) 20%, (c) 20%, (d) 20%, (e) 20%.

Let  $\varepsilon_t$  be an iid sequence with  $\varepsilon_t \sim N(0, 1)$  and let  $u_t$  be an iid sequence with  $E u_t = 0$  and  $E u_t^2 = 1$ . Assume that

$$\begin{aligned}y_t &= \alpha x_t + u_t \\x_t &= \varepsilon_t + \theta \varepsilon_{t-1}\end{aligned}$$

with  $|\alpha| < 1$ ,  $\text{cov}(\varepsilon_t, u_t) = \sigma_{\varepsilon u} \neq 0$ .

- (a) Is  $x_t$ , as determined by the above difference equations, stationary? Weakly stationary? Prove your answer.
- (b) Find the spectral density of  $x_t$ .
- (c) You are interested in estimating the parameter  $\alpha$ . You use OLS

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T x_t y_t}{\sum_{t=1}^T x_t^2}.$$

Describe the asymptotic properties of the OLS estimator.

- (d) Is there a better estimator than  $\hat{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha$ ? If so, write down a formula for a better procedure. What are the asymptotic properties of the proposed alternative procedure.
- (e) Now assume that you want to estimate  $\theta$ . What are the conditions for identification of this parameter?

PART III FOLLOWS ON NEXT PAGE

**PART III**

**Question 5.** Point allocation: (a) 20%; (b) 20%; (c) 10%; (d) 10%; (e) 10%; (f) 30%.

Consider the regression model

$$y_i = \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) + u_i,$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is a  $K \times 1$  vector and  $u_i$  are independent over  $i$  with  $E[u_i | \mathbf{x}_i] = 0$  and  $V[u_i | \mathbf{x}_i] = \sigma_i^2$ .

Consider the estimator  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  that minimizes

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}))^2.$$

- (a) Give the Newton-Raphson iterations for computing the estimator, with the simplification that the expected value of the Hessian is used in place of the Hessian.
- (b) Give a formula to compute a consistent estimate of the variance matrix of  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ .
- (c) Provide a direct interpretation of the coefficient  $\widehat{\beta}_j$  in this model.
- (d) Give a complete formula that allows computation of the average marginal effect in this model of a change in an indicator regressor variable using the finite-difference method.
- (e) Define the residual to be  $\widehat{u}_i = y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ . Will  $\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{u}_i = 0$ ? Explain.
- (f) Suppose that  $E[u_i | \mathbf{x}_i] \neq 0$ . Instead there exist  $K + 2$  variables  $\mathbf{z}_i$  that satisfy  $E[u_i | \mathbf{z}_i] = 0$ . Explain in detail how to calculate a consistent and efficient estimator of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ .

**Question 6.** Point allocation: (a) 10%; (b) 10%; (c) 20%; (d) 10%; (e) 10%; (f) 20%; (g) 20%.

Consider the panel data model

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta x_{it} + u_{it}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

where  $u_{it}$  are independently distributed over  $i$  and  $t$ ,  $E[u_{it} | \alpha_i, x_{it}] = 0$ , and  $x_{it}$  is a scalar regressor. **If you need to make any additional assumptions in answering the following, state them clearly.**

- State how to obtain a consistent estimator of  $\beta$  using only an OLS program under the assumption that  $x_{it}$  is uncorrelated with  $\alpha_i$ .
- State how to obtain a consistent estimator of  $\beta$  using only an OLS program under the assumption that  $x_{it}$  is correlated with  $\alpha_i$ .
- State in some detail how to determine whether or not  $x_{it}$  is correlated with  $\alpha_i$ .
- You are told that  $x_{it}$  has small within variation and large between variation. What does this mean and how, if at all, will it effect your estimators in part (a) and part (b)?
- Suppose  $x_{it}$  is correlated with both  $\alpha_i$  and  $u_{it}$ . State how to obtain a consistent estimator of  $\beta$ .
- Suppose  $x_{it} = y_{i,t-1}$ . State how to obtain a consistent and efficient estimator of  $\beta$ .
- Suppose we write the above model in matrix notation as

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{e}\alpha_i + \beta\mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{u}_i,$$

where  $\mathbf{y}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_i$ , and  $\mathbf{u}_i$  are  $T \times 1$  vectors with  $t^{\text{th}}$  entries  $y_{it}$ ,  $x_{it}$  and  $u_{it}$ , and  $\mathbf{e}$  is an  $N \times 1$  vector of ones. Consider the estimator

$$\tilde{\beta} = \left[ \sum_i \mathbf{x}'_i \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}_i \right]^{-1} \sum_i \mathbf{x}'_i \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{y}_i,$$

where  $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbf{I}_T - \frac{1}{T}\mathbf{e}\mathbf{e}')$ . Obtain the variance of  $\tilde{\beta}$  under the assumption that  $u_{it}$  is i.i.d.  $(0, \sigma^2)$ .

PART IV FOLLOWS ON NEXT PAGE

## PART IV

**Question 7.** Point allocation: equal weights for each question.

This question contains 6 short questions. I am looking for concise and specific answers and many do not even require that you use any math notation. Do not ramble, you do not have the time.

- (a) Briefly explain (in words) the concept of uniform convergence and its usefulness (i.e., in what way is it used in asymptotic theory).
- (b) In a regime-switching model with two states, say 1 and 2, you may remember that the auxiliary vector  $\xi_t$  is a  $2 \times 1$  vector that is equal to the first column of the matrix  $I_2$  when the state is  $s_t = 1$ , and is the second column of  $I_2$  when the state is  $s_t = 2$ . This vector is used to formalize the conditional transition probabilities. Explain, in words, the differences and usefulness of each of the following entities:  $\hat{\xi}_t$ ;  $\hat{\xi}_{t+1|t}$ ;  $\hat{\xi}_{t|T}$ . Be sure to discuss how an empirical practitioner may use each quantity in practice.
- (c) What are the advantages and disadvantages of using the equal-weights matrix over the optimal weighting matrix in a minimum distance (e.g. GMM) type problem?
- (d) Consider the following state-space model

$$\begin{aligned} y_{t+1}^* &= \rho y_t^* + \varepsilon_{t+1} \\ y_t &= y_t^* + u_t \end{aligned}$$

where  $E(\varepsilon_t^2) = \sigma_\varepsilon^2$ ;  $E(u_t^2) = \sigma_u^2$ . Identify the state variable, the observed variable and hence the state equation and the observation equation. What is the mean-squared error of the one-period ahead forecast (i.e.  $P_{t+1|t}$  in the notation used in the Kalman filter) when there is no measurement error? Suppose you need to provide your best guess about the unobserved variable  $\{y_t^*\}_{t=1}^T$  to a policy maker (he may then use this information for some other analysis with other data). Assuming  $\sigma_u^2 \neq 0$ , what quantity (or quantities) from the Kalman filter should you provide him with (and why)?

- (e) Suppose you are interested in constructing a 95% simultaneous confidence region for the parameters  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ . These parameters must meet the following restrictions:  $0 > \theta_1 > 1$  and  $\theta_2 > 0$ . Provide an algorithmic method based on simulation techniques to construct such a region. You may assume that the posterior density for each parameter individually is available and is easy to take draws from.
- (f) Briefly explain what is a particle filter, when and what is it used for, and give the intuition as to how it works.

**Question 8.** Point allocation: equal weights for each question.

This question contains 6 short questions. I am looking for concise and specific answers and many do not even require that you use any math notation. Do not ramble, you do not have the time.

- (a) Briefly explain (in words) the concept of stochastic equicontinuity and in what way is it useful in asymptotic derivations.
- (b) Suppose a consistent vector of parameter estimates  $\widehat{\gamma}_T$  of dimension  $k \times 1$  is available out of which a researcher can construct sample moment conditions  $h(\mathbf{z}_t; \widehat{\gamma}_T; \theta) = 0$  to estimate the structural parameters of interest  $\theta$  by some minimum distance method. Assume also that an estimate of the optimal weighting matrix,  $\widehat{W}$ , is available. Under what conditions will the parameters  $\theta$  be identified (make sure that you consider *all* the conditions needed)? Would your answer change if there existed a set of moment conditions  $g(\mathbf{z}_t; \theta) = 0$  that did not require the first stage estimates  $\widehat{\gamma}_T$ ? Explain your answer.
- (c) Explain (in words) in what way is the mean value theorem useful when deriving the asymptotic distribution of a minimum distance estimator.
- (d) Propose a simple test (and explain what type of a test it is, Wald, LM or LR) and the way to compute it for the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \theta_2 = 0$  in the model

$$y_t = \theta_1 x_{1t} + \theta_2 x_{2t} + \varepsilon_t$$

but without actually estimating that model. Instead, you can use the estimates from the model

$$y_t = \theta_1 x_{1t} + u_t$$

and any other auxiliary regression that you like. You may make any assumptions that you want as long as you are explicit about them.

- (e) You are told a state variable  $\xi_t$  follows a Gaussian first order autoregression. The observed variable  $y_t$  depends linearly on this state variable and on a Gaussian white noise. Further, from the properties of the multivariate normal, you know that if  $\mathbf{z}_1$  and  $\mathbf{z}_2$  are two vectors of random variables such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{z}_1 \\ \mathbf{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left( \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 \end{bmatrix}; \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12} \\ \Omega_{21} & \Omega_{22} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

then  $\mathbf{z}_2 | \mathbf{z}_1 \sim N(\mathbf{m}, \Sigma)$  where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m} &= \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 + \Omega_{21} \Omega_{11}^{-1} (\mathbf{z}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1) \\ \Sigma &= \Omega_{22} - \Omega_{21} \Omega_{11}^{-1} \Omega_{12} \end{aligned}$$

Compute  $\widehat{\xi}_{t+1|t}$  and  $\widehat{\xi}_{t|t}$ .



- (f) Suppose  $T_1$  observations from an experiment are available to estimate the parameter vector  $\beta$  in a linear regression where the residual variance is known. Call these estimates  $\hat{\beta}_1$ . Later on, an additional  $T_2$  observations are made available. Provide a method to estimate  $\hat{\beta}$  using  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and the new  $T_2$  observations only, that would be equivalent to the estimate you would obtain if you used instead the sample of  $T_1 + T_2$  observations. Be specific about the formula for this estimator.

END OF EXAM.